1	he or she has provided copies of the motion to his or her current counsel and to the
2	opposing party, and [receive from the court] an order of substitution by the court
3	terminating the party's attorney"); see also United States v. Halbert, 640 F.2d 1000, 1009
4	(9th Cir. 1981) ("A criminal defendant does not have an absolute right to both
5	self-representation and the assistance of counsel Whether to allow hybrid
6	representation remains within the sound discretion of the trial judge."); United States v.
7	Durden, 673 F. Supp. 308, 309 (N.D. Ind. 1987) (citing Halbert, 640 F.2d at 1009)
8	(exercising the discretion to decline to consider a represented criminal defendant's pro se
9	motion). The court directs Mr. Serrano Carreno to contact his counsel to discuss his
10	current situation and the relief he requests.
11	Because Mr. Serrano Carreno improperly filed his motion pro se, the court
12	STRIKES the motion for recommendation (Dkt. # 749) from the docket.
13	Dated this 2 day of January, 2018.
14	July Slut
15	JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	